

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO      | ). F                  | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/686,933          | 10/686,933 10/16/2003 |            | John Gavin MacDonald | KCX-665 (19232)         | 4589             |  |
| 22827               | 7590                  | 11/14/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|                     |                       | ING, P.A.  | YOO, REGINA M        |                         |                  |  |
| POST OFF<br>GREENVI |                       | 29602-1449 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |  |
|                     | ŕ                     |            |                      | 1744                    |                  |  |
|                     |                       |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006 | 5                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  | A II AI AI  |  |              |
|--|---|--|--------------|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |              |
|  | 10/686,933  | MACDONALD ET   | AL.          |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |              |
|  | Regina Yoo  | 1744   |              |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply  | appears on the cover sheet w  | rith the correspondence ad   | dress        |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>1.136(a). In no event, however, may a<br>od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>tute, cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this constant to the mailing date of this constant to the cons | ,            |
| Status   |   |  |              |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |  |              |
|  | his action is non-final.  |  |              |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | vance except for formal mat   | ters, prosecution as to the  | merits is    |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde  | er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I  | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |              |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |              |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application   | on.   |  | •            |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd   |   | ·  | •            |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  | ·            |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |  |              |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | •   |  |              |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.  |  |              |
| Application Papers   |   |  |              |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami   | iner.   |  |              |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a   |   | by the Examiner.   |              |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |  |              |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  | ection is required if the drawing   | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CI   | FR 1.121(d). |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner. Note the attache  | d Office Action or form P7   | TO-152.      |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |              |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  | gn priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |              |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |  |              |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume   |   | ,<br>A. 19 (1) A1  |              |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume   |   |  | 04           |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties of the properties of the properties of Propertie | ·   | 1 received in this National  | Stage        |
| application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li  | · · · · · ·   | t received   |              |
| dee the attached detailed Office action for a li   | ist of the certified copies no  | received.  |              |
|  |   |  |              |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |              |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |   | Summary (PTO-413)  |              |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  |   | (s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application   |              |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   |  | •            |

Application/Control Number: 10/686,933 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-30, drawn to a method for reducing odor, classified in class 422, subclass 5.
  - II. Claims 31-47, drawn to a product used to reduce odor, classified in class424, subclass 76.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the product to reduce odor as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as a substrate that contains microparticles, instead of nanoparticles, and has a different surface area as well as pore volume/porosity. Or the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as in a process where the air is flowed through the product at a rate less than 2 or greater than 500

cubic feet of air through 1 square foot of the product per minute under a pressure differential other than 125 Pascals.

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Christina Mangelsen on November 2, 2006 at 8:50 am to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the Art Unit: 1744

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Yoo whose telephone number is 571-272-6690. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Piazza Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GLADYS JP CORCORAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER